

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/525,552	02/24/2005	. Hans Wagener	VO-703	6070		
42419 75	90 10/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
PAULEY PETERSEN & ERICKSON			LEON, E	LEON, EDWIN A		
2800 WEST HIG SUITE 365	GGINS ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
HOFFMAN ESTATES, IL 60195			2833			
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/200	DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/525,552	WAGENER, HANS		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Edwin A. León	2833		

3	LXAIIIIICI	Air oille				
	Edwin A. León	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follop places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	of Appeal. To avoid at offidavit, or other evidence ompliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension of (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	e filed within two mor	ths of the date			
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any each of Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS	·					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered	because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		OTE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);		- the issues for			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			tne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	·		. (570) 504)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
5. $oxed{\boxtimes}$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	s): See Continuation Sheet.					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 						
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 	I □ will not be entered, or b) ☑ vovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) anowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apports over and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant f See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:		7				
		- AR NAIN	∕FN			
		TRUCT. NGU PRIMARY EXAL	MINER			

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection based on the Rittal-Werk reference has been overcome by the certified translation submitted. Therefore the rejection and the finality of the last office action are withdrawn. A new official action will be sent shortly. No further response is required.